|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Maximum** | |
| **Organisation and methodology** | |
|  | |
| Rationale | [20] [<other>] |
| Strategy | [40] [<other>] |
| Support facilities | [10] [<other>] |
| Involvement of all members of the consortium | [10] [<other>] |
| Timetable of activities | [20] [<other>] |
|  | |
| **Overall total score** | **100** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Strengths** |  |
| **Weaknesses** |  |

**Tenders minimum threshold to be considered technically acceptable and qualify for the financial evaluation: overall total score of at least 75 points.**

**How to prepare the evaluation grid for publication:**

* The categories to be used to assess the organisation and methodology (i.e. rationale, strategy, support facilities, involvement of the consortium members and timetable of activities) may **not** be modified if profiles of key experts have been requested. The assessment of key experts' profiles is part of the strategy.
* The points allowed to the ‘support facilities’, ‘involvement of all members of the consortium’ and ‘timetable of activities’ may be distributed to ‘rationale’ and ‘strategy’ if appropriate for the project.
* The overall total maximum score is 100 and can cannot be modified.
* Those maximum scores cannot be modified after the deadline for informing potential tenderers of any clarifications.

**How to complete the evaluation grid at the evaluation stage:**

* The members of the evaluation committee should familiarise themselves with the instructions and guidelines to evaluators (annex b12b) and shall complete independently from each other the evaluator’s grid (annex b12b).
* The strengths and weaknesses in this evaluation grid must reflect the commonly agreed by the committee amongst all those pointed out by the evaluators in their individual grids.
* The evaluation committee must evaluate tenders on the basis of this evaluation grid, which includes maximum scores.
* **Please delete the highlighted text.**